UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,724	04/15/2004	Donald M. Pohler	DMP-659	6715
	46488 7590 08/02/2007 JOHN M. HAMMOND		EXAMINER	
PATENT INNOVATIONS LLC 150 LUCIUS GORDON DRIVE			KOCZO JR, MICHAEL	
SUITE 205				PAPER NUMBER
WEST HENRI				
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1.00	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/824,724	POHLER, DONALD M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
•	,				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement				
of the state of th	siootion roquiromant.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					

(y)

Application/Control Number: 10/824,724

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2 to 5, drawn to a pump having an exclusionary plate, classified in class417, subclass 423.11.
- II. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a pump having a volute with an exit flare and baffle, classified in class 415, subclass 204.
- III. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a pump wherein the cover and vane faces are parallel, classified in class 415, subclass 224.5.
- IV. Claims 10 to 13, drawn to a pump having a filling port, classified in class 415, subclass 56.1.
- V. Claims 14 and 27, drawn to a pump having a carrying handle, classified in class417, subclass 234.
- VI. Claims 17 to 22 and 30 to 33, drawn to a pump having a motor cooling fan, classified in class 310, subclass 62.
- VII. Claims 25 and 26, drawn to a pump wherein the motor housing has ribs, classified in class 310, subclass 273.
- VIII. Claims 34 to 37, drawn to a pump wherein the motor has brushes, classified in class 310, subclass 239.
- IX. Claim 40, drawn to a pump wherein the motor housing cover has a switch opening, classified in class 310, subclass 68A.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,724 Page 3

Art Unit: 3746

X. Claim 41, drawn to a pump wherein the motor housing cover has a cord opening, classified in class 310, subclass 273.

- XI. Claims 42 and 43, drawn to a pump wherein the motor housing cover has ribs, classified in class 310, subclass 273.
- XII. Claim 47, drawn to a pump wherein the motor housing has a taper, classified in class 310, subclass 273.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I through XI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility because it can be used without a volute having an exit flare and a baffle, without parallel cover and impeller faces, without a filling port, without a handle, without a motor cooling fan, without housing ribs, without brushes, without a switch opening in the end cover, without a cord opening in the end cover, without ribs on the end cover, and without a housing taper. Each of the other inventions likewise does not require the features of any of the remaining inventions for its operation. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the

present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1, 15, 16, 23, 24, 28, 29, 38, 39, 44, 45 and 46 link the inventions and will be examined with the claims of the elected invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,724 Page 5

Art Unit: 3746

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony D. Stashick can be reached at 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746